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Abstract

Background. The motivation for this study was the need for inverse solutions of the Severinghaus and Thomas equations, in order to allow $P_{O_2}$ to be derived directly from saturation and hence obviating the need to use an iterative method.

Methods. Both the Severinghaus equation (1979) and Thomas equation (1972) were investigated to see if convenient inverse solutions yielding $P_{O_2}$ from $S_{O_2}$ could be derived.

Results. Inverse solutions were derived for both equations, and tested using standard equation solving software. The derivations of the two solutions are presented.

Conclusion. The inverse solutions for $P_{O_2}$ from $S_{O_2}$ for these two equations represent a useful addition to the anaesthetist’s mathematical ‘toolbox’.

¹Retired: (Department of Anaesthesia, Nottingham University Hospitals, City Hospital Campus, Nottingham, UK.)
1 Introduction

A recent paper by Hope et al. described a non-invasive technique for estimating venous admixture using an iterative method for determining arterial oxygen tension (\(P_{aO_2}\)) from haemoglobin saturation (\(S_{aO_2}\)) using the Thomas equation\(^2\). The Thomas equation represents a significant improvement on a similar one derived by Kelman\(^3\). A slightly simpler equation with very similar functionality is that of Severinghaus\(^4\).

Unfortunately however, both these equations are formulated to yield saturation from oxygen tension, and consequently an iterative computer method is currently required when performing the process in reverse, i.e. when determining oxygen tension from a known saturation. In view of this problem, and the increasing use of realtime computation in anaesthesia, it was decided to derive inverse solutions for these two useful equations, and these are presented in the present article.

2 The Severinghaus equation\(^2\)

The oxyhaemoglobin dissociation curve is usually modeled from the set of saturation and oxygen tension data determined by Severinghaus\(^4,5,13\) for human blood having a normal temperature, pH and \(P_{CO_2}\), which represents the standard ‘oxygen haemoglobin equilibrium curve’ OHEC\(^6\). Severinghaus’ modification\(^4\) of the Hill equation is a cubic model of the in vivo oxyhaemoglobin dissociation curve. This equation was originally formulated as

\[
s = \left( (p^3 + 150p)^{-1} \times 23400 \right) + 1 \right)^{-1}
\]

for use with a calculator, where \(s\) is the fractional oxygen saturation (0–1) and \(p\) is the associated oxygen tension in mm Hg. Severinghaus clearly saw that the inverse equation would be useful (e.g. for determining \(P_{50}\)). Equation 1 was solved for \(P_{O_2}\) by Ellis\(^14\) (1989).

Particular solution

Rearranging Equation 1 gives the following so-called ‘reduced’ cubic equation

\[
p^3 + 150p - 23400 \left( \frac{s}{1-s} \right) = 0,
\]

which can then be solved using a standard method\(^7\). The resulting exact physiological solution (the mathematically ‘real’ root), which gives the oxygen tension \(p\) as a function of the saturation \(s\), is shown as Equation 3 (cf. Ellis\(^14\) 1989),

\[
p = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (-\gamma_N + \sqrt{\gamma_N^2 - h^2})} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2} (-\gamma_N - \sqrt{\gamma_N^2 - h^2})},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_N &= -23400s/(1 - s), \\
h^2 &= -500000,
\end{align*}
\]

It is significant that Equation 2 has the same single real root configuration for the range \(0 \leq s \leq 1\), and consequently Equation 3 holds throughout the physiological range.

\(^2\)For some history and insight regarding this equation see Severinghaus’ recent lecture on translational science (Severinghaus, 2009; p 726)\(^{13}\), and also the revised version of his 1979 paper\(^4\).
Results

Values returned from Equation 3 (see Table 1) were compared with those derived from Equation 2 using the Perl equation solving module `Math::Polynomial::Solve`, on a Mandrake-Linux PC using Perl v.5.8.1. These values were in complete agreement.

For example, if \( s = 0.5 \) then \( s/(1-s) = 1 \) and so \( y_N = -23400 \). Substituting these in Equation 3 gives \( p = 28.604 - 1.747 = 26.857 \text{ mm Hg} \), which agrees exactly with Severinghaus’ observation \(^4\) that “\( P_{50} \) with Equation 1 is 26.86, compared with 26.67 in the standard curve”. The exact values in mm Hg for the case \( s = 0.5 \) are as follows:

Equation 2 : 26.856  
Equation 3 : 26.856

3 The Thomas equation

The Thomas modification \(^2\) of the Kelman equation \(^3\) is a quartic model for the \textit{in vivo} oxyhaemoglobin dissociation curve. The Thomas equation \(^2\) gives the fractional haemoglobin saturation \( s \) (0–1) as a function of oxygen tension \( p \) (mm Hg), as follows,

\[
s = \frac{p^4 + Ap^3 + Bp^2 + Cp}{p^4 + Ap^3 + Bp^2 + Ep + F},  \tag{4}
\]

where \( p = -P_{aO_2} \times 10^{0.48(pH-7.4)-0.024(T-37)-0.0013(BE)}, \quad A = 15, \quad B = 2045, \quad C = -2000, \quad D = 2400, \quad E = 31100, \quad \text{and} \quad F = 2400000.\)

The inverse solution of Equation 4, giving the oxygen tension \( p \) for a given fractional saturation \( s \), is shown as Equation 5 (see Figures 1-4)

\[
p = p_N + \sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}  \tag{5}
\]

where \( p_N, r_1, r_2, r_3 \) are parameters derived from \( s \) and the coefficients \( A-F \) (see Appendix for derivation).

Values returned from Equation 5 (see Table 1) were compared with those derived from Equation 4 (using Equation 6) using the method described in Section 2, and found to be in complete agreement. For example, the exact values in mm Hg for the case \( s = 0.5 \) are as follows (note that the negative sign arises from the way Thomas originally formulated his equation).

Equation 4 : -26.907  
Equation 5 : -26.907
4 Discussion

The required inverse solution to the Thomas equation represents only one particular root of the general solution (the negative real root). We are fortunate that throughout the physiological range of fractional saturation, the inverse Thomas equation \((F(p) = 0)\) has the same geometric discriminant\(^8\) and hence root configuration (two real roots and two complex roots), and consequently we are able to identify a particular solution which holds in all cases of interest.

Since the Severinghaus and Thomas equations were originally derived using ‘best-fit’ methodology while working in mm Hg, it was felt best to retain the same units. If translation to kPa is required, this is optimumly performed as a one-step final conversion; a suitable conversion factor being \(7.50062 \text{ mm Hg/kPa}\) given by Kaye and Laby\(^{12}\) (see line 28 in the Perl program in the Appendix).

Table 1: Data generated by the derived inverse equations of Severinghaus (Equation 3) and Thomas (Equation 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saturation</th>
<th>Oxygen tension (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Severinghaus (^4)</th>
<th>Thomas (^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10.21900</td>
<td>10.31184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>15.26876</td>
<td>15.07883</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>19.25613</td>
<td>19.10322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>22.99020</td>
<td>22.94330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>26.85680</td>
<td>26.90743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>31.21584</td>
<td>31.30776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>36.61968</td>
<td>36.63698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>40.04036</td>
<td>39.94493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>44.30276</td>
<td>44.01368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>50.01306</td>
<td>49.41240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>58.65543</td>
<td>57.60025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>61.04142</td>
<td>59.88959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>63.78672</td>
<td>62.55021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>67.00533</td>
<td>65.71481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>70.87137</td>
<td>69.59593</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>75.66809</td>
<td>74.56080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>81.89811</td>
<td>81.31437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>90.57305</td>
<td>91.43363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>104.18789</td>
<td>109.41959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>131.93953</td>
<td>155.47307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Appendix (Thomas eqn)

5.1 Solution

Equation 4 can be rewritten as follows.

\[ F(p) \equiv (1 - s)p^4 + A(1 - s)p^3 + (B - sD)p^2 + (C - sE)p - sF = 0. \]  

Let \( a = (1 - s), b = A(1 - s), c = (B - sD), d = (C - sE), e = -sF \), giving

\[ F(p) \equiv ap^4 + bp^3 + cp^2 + dp + e = 0, \]  

with roots \( p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \). (let \( p_1 \) denote the required root, i.e., the negative real root), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho &= -\frac{b}{4a}, \\
\epsilon^2 &= \frac{(3b^2 - 8ac)}{48a^2}, \\
I &= 12ae - 3bd + c^2, \\
J &= 72ace + 9bcd - 27ad^2 - 27eb^2 - 2c^3.
\end{align*}
\]  

Let the quartic’s reduced form \( Z(x) \) (with roots \( z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \)) be generated by the translation \( (p - p_1) \mapsto x \),

\[ Z(x) \equiv F(x + p_1) \equiv ax^4 - 6ae^2x^2 + y_{n_0}x + y_{n_1}, \]

where \( y_{n_0} = F(p_1) \) and \( y_{n_1} = F'(p_1) \). Let its resolvent cubic \( R(x) \) have an unscaled reduced form \( S(x) \), which is typically scaled to the form \( T(x) \), as follows:

\[ S(x) \equiv x^3 - \frac{I}{48a^2}x + \frac{J}{1728a^3} = 0, \]

\[ T(x) \equiv x^3 - 3x + J = 0, \]

with roots \( t_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \). Since it turns out that for the saturation range \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \) the equation \( T(x) = 0 \) has only a single real root, then the real \(^7\) root \( (t_1) \) and complex \(^{10}\) roots \( (t_2, t_3) \) are as follows.

\[ t_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -J + \sqrt{J^2 - 4I^3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( -J - \sqrt{J^2 - 4I^3} \right), \]

\[ t_2, t_3 = -\frac{t_1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{t_1^2 - 4I}, \]

where \( J^2 = -1 \).

If the roots of \( R(x) = 0 \) are \( r_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \), then it can be shown that \( r_i = e^2 + t_i / 12a \). The required root \( p_1 \) is then given by \( p_1 = p_1 + z_1 \) where \( z_k = \pm \sqrt{r_1} \pm \sqrt{r_2} \pm \sqrt{r_3} \) \( (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) \). We just need to determine which of the four possible mathematical roots \( z_k \) is the appropriate root for our purposes, say, \( z_1 \) (see below).

Since the algebraic discriminant \( 4I^3 - J^2 \) of the reduced quartic \( Z(x) \) remains negative for the whole saturation range \( (0 \leq s \leq 1) \) it follows that the quartic root configuration also remains constant for the whole saturation range. Equation \( T(x) \) will therefore also maintain the same root configuration for the whole saturation range, and
closer inspection reveals that this configuration is one positive real root and two negative complex roots.

After some experimentation, the required root of the reduced quartic (the negative real root \( z_1 \)) is found to be given by

\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= \sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3},
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= \varepsilon^2 + \left( \frac{t_1}{12a} \right), \\
    r_2 &= \varepsilon^2 + \left( \frac{t_2}{12a} \right), \\
    r_3 &= \varepsilon^2 + \left( \frac{t_3}{12a} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, since \( p_1 = p_N + z_1 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
p_1 &= p_N + \sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

Figure 1: Quartic \( F(p) \) for values of \( s = 0, 0.5, 0.9, 0.97, 1.0001 \) (from above down respectively). Note that the root configuration (two real roots; two complex roots) is the same for \( 0 < s < 1 \), since within this range of \( s \) the sign of the quartic discriminant remains the same. The significance of this is that for a given quartic solving program the required root, say \( r_1 \), will keep the same position in the root array, and hence greatly facilitates extraction of the correct root. Note that the curve inverts for \( s > 1 \) since the leading coefficient \( a \) is then negative as \( a = 1 - s \) (see Equation 6).
Figure 2: $F(p)$ and $S(x)$ for $s = 0$.

Figure 3: $F(p)$ and $S(x)$ for $s = 0.2$. 
5.2 Program

The following Perl 5 program solves the inverse Thomas equation using the `Math::Complex::Math` library (line 6).

```perl
#!/usr/bin/perl
# thomas-eqn.pl
# warning switch
use Math::Complex; # complex maths library
use Math::Polynomial::Solve qw ( quartic_roots );

$AA=15, $BB=2045, $CC=-2000, $DD=2400, $EE=31100, $FF=2400000;
print " sat (s), p (mmHg), p (kPa) \n";
# range 0.1 - 0.9
for ($s=0.1; $s<=0.89; $s=$s+0.1) {sat($s)};
# range 0.91 - 0.99
for ($s=0.9; $s<=0.99; $s=$s+0.01) {sat($s)};
# range 0.991 - 0.999
for ($s=0.99; $s<1; $s=$s+0.001) {sat($s)};

sub sat {
  my ($s) = @_;
  my $k = 7.50062; # mmHg to kPa
  # solve the quartic F(p)=0:
  $a=(1-$s), $b=$AA*(1-$s), $c=$BB-$s*$DD, $d=$CC-$s*$EE, $e=-$s*$FF;
  ($x1, $x2, $x3, $x4) = quartic_roots ($a, $b, $c, $d, $e);
  $x4kpa = $x4/$k; # required root is x4
  print "$s, $x4, $x4kpa \n";
}
```

Notes:
—line 8: the constants determined by Thomas.
—lines 10–15: a series of `for` statements for calling the `sat()` subroutine for each range of saturation ($s$) values.
—lines 17–25: the subroutine which solves the quartic for a given value of saturation ($s$).
—line 19: the constant for converting mmHg to kPa.
—line 22: solving the quartic: the roots are placed in the array $x_1$–$x_4$. Note that since the sign of the discriminant remains the same for the whole saturation range ($0 < s < 1$) then the required root will always be the same one—$x_4$ in this particular case as determined by some initial experimentation).
—line 23: the value $x_4$ (in mmHg) is converted to kPa.
5.3 Results

using M::P::Solve = version 2.12
using Math::Complex = version 1.54

Thomas equation
sat (s), p (mmHg), p (kPa)
0.1, -10.3118413594488, -1.37479853124792
0.2, -15.0788301616509, -2.01034449974148
0.3, -19.1032293500069, -2.54688670403339
0.4, -22.9433035257091, -3.05854271474777
0.5, -26.9074365885018, -3.58736165656996
0.6, -31.3077609839598, -4.17402307862015
0.7, -36.69882213363, -4.88452797519889
0.8, -41.036836554275, -5.6800606555558
0.9, -56.002520295602, -7.6793977364274
0.91, -59.895976421806, -7.9861962373519
0.92, -62.5502106169618, -8.393386969293
0.93, -65.7148179129474, -8.76125145827244
0.94, -69.593049478599, -9.2786936090162
0.95, -74.5608031892216, -9.94061866741971
0.96, -81.343718398646, -10.8410200543241
0.97, -91.4336374115412, -12.190439363068
0.98, -109.41959580099, -14.5880734927233
0.99, -155.473070010936, -20.7280291510483
0.991, -164.665392103243, -21.9535707852475
0.992, -175.727554456875, -23.4284038461988
0.993, -189.315503679396, -25.399806521856
0.994, -206.448597387887, -27.5242043774898
0.995, -228.820748459624, -30.5069112232887
0.996, -259.518189495934, -34.599565035415
0.997, -304.970870613725, -40.6594215696469
0.998, -381.808147071796, -50.9035449165264
0.999, -556.081895296556, -74.1381239546273
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